## EFFICIENCY CORRELATE 9 – COMPREHENSIVE AND EFFECTIVE PLANNING

Correlate 9: The school/district develops, implements, and evaluates a comprehensive school improvement plan that communicates a clear purpose, direction and action plan focused on teaching and learning.

		Ratings of Perfe	ormance	
Indicator	4 Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation
9.1 DEFINING THE SCHOOL'S VISION, MISSION, BELIEFS	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
9.1a There is evidence that a collaborative process was used to develop the vision, beliefs, mission and goals that engage the school community as a community of learners.	During the development of the school's vision, mission, beliefs and goal statements, representatives of stakeholder groups and cultural leaders confer with and obtain input from their constituent organizations.	Representatives of stakeholder groups and cultural leaders reflecting the diversity of the school's learning community collaborate to draft and finalize the school's vision, mission, beliefs and goal statements.	A collaborative process is established that involves teachers and administrators in defining the school's vision, beliefs, mission and goals; but it provides a limited role for other stakeholders (e.g., students, parents, community members).	No effort is made to establish a collaborative process to define the school's vision, beliefs, mission and goals.
Examples of Supporting Evidence:  • Mission and belief statements • Executive summary of the 5YCEP	Drafts of mission and vision statements were presented by teams composed of representatives of stakeholder groups at open meetings. Public comment was sought and considered prior to final adoption.	Drafts of mission and vision statements were presented to the general public at open meetings, and public comment was encouraged and considered prior to final adoption.	Drafts of mission and vision statements were presented to the general public at open meetings, but opportunity for public comment was not always provided.	Drafts of mission and vision statements were not presented to the general public.
School board/subcommittee meeting agenda and minutes	A glossary that explains the words and phrases in the mission statement was developed so that the mission/purpose is clear and the school and community share a common understanding of it (e.g., expected student outcomes including knowledge, skills, values and attitudes)	School and community share a common understanding of the words and phrases, in the mission/purpose; the mission is clear (e.g., expected student outcomes including knowledge, skills, values and attitudes).	School and community share a common understanding of the words and phrases; in the mission/purpose; the mission is not clear.	School and community do not share a common understanding of the words and phrases in the mission/purpose; the mission is not clear.

vision statements are not

displayed.

## **Indicator**

statements are prominently and

frequently displayed and

regularly publicized.

## 9.1a (continued)

- School improvement planning team meeting agenda and minutes
- Staff member, community member, parent/family member and school improvement planning team member interviews
- Perception surveys

Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation
Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
.School's mission and vision	.School's mission and vision	School's mission and vision	School's mission and

statements are displayed.

**Ratings of Performance** 

statements are prominently displayed

throughout the school and regularly

publicized.

	Ratings of Performance			
Indicator	4 Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation
9.2 DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROFILE	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
9.2a There is evidence the school/district planning process involves collecting, managing and analyzing data.  Examples of Supporting Evidence:  • 5YCEP	The systematic data analysis process includes the identification of trends, projections and correlations of data, as well as the identification of emerging issues to inform decisionmaking at the school and classroom levels.	There is a systematic process for collecting, managing and analyzing data that enables school leadership to determine areas of strength and limitation and that informs decisionmaking at the school and classroom levels.	There is a process for collecting, managing and analyzing data that enables school leadership to determine areas of strength and limitation, but the data analysis is not used to inform decision-making at the school and classroom levels.	There is an inefficient process for collecting, managing and analyzing data.
<ul> <li>Implementation and impact checks</li> <li>School board/subcommittee meeting agenda and minutes</li> <li>School improvement planning team meeting agenda and minutes</li> <li>School and district staff member, community member,</li> </ul>	School profile data are disaggregated, analyzed and disseminated to all staff members who apply the implications of the data to instructional decision-making.	School profile data reflect the school's overall performance and are disaggregated and analyzed by appropriate subgroups (e.g., gender, race/ethnic group, economic level).	School profile data reflect the school's overall performance, but the data are not always disaggregated and analyzed by appropriate subgroups.	School profile data does not accurately reflect the school's overall performance.
parent/family member and school improvement planning team member interviews  Student work  Perception surveys  School profile  School report card	The analysis of data is validated against educational research to design curriculum, assessment and instruction that fosters positive change and creates a culture of high achievement for all students.	The sets of data collected in each area of the profile are integrated and analyzed using a systems approach, and the analysis includes comparison to similar and high-performing schools.	The sets of data collected for the profile are not always integrated or analyzed using a systems approach.	The sets of data collected for the profile are not analyzed using a systems approach.
<ul> <li>Data analysis summaries/reports</li> <li>Records Management reports</li> <li>Needs assessment data</li> </ul>	The district establishes and maintains a district-wide, state-of-the-art data management system that is also accessible throughout the district.	A data management system is in place that allows ready access to the school's longitudinal profile data for revision and analysis over time.	A data management system is in place, but access to the school's data is difficult and hinders analysis of data over time.	There is no data management system in place.

	Ratings of Performance			
T 10 4	4	3	2	1
<b>Indicator</b>	Exemplary level of development and	Fully functioning and operational	Limited development or partial	Little or no development
	implementation	level of development and	implementation	and implementation
		implementation		
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of "3"			
	on this indicator plus:			
9.2b	The collected data are used to	The collected data are used to	The collected data are used to	The collected data are not
The school/district uses data for	anticipate and proactively address	identify and prioritize areas of	identify areas of need for the	used to identify and
school improvement planning.	future needs.	need for the 5YCEP. Student	5YCEP. Student achievement	prioritize areas of need for
Examples of Supporting		achievement data are a	data are sometimes used to	the 5YCEP.
Evidence:		significant part of the data used to identify and prioritize needs.	identify and prioritize needs, but they are not used in a consistent	
Evidence.		to identify and prioritize needs.	and deliberate manner.	
• 5YCEP			and denocrate manner.	
Written and graphical data	Analysis of trend data is conducted	The analysis of the data	There is some analysis of the data	Analysis of profile data is
analyses	and is reflected in the objectives of	contained in the school's profile	to guide school improvement, but	not used for 5YCEP
School improvement	the 5YCEP. The data are viewed as	guides the school improvement	either the implications of the	and/or is not reflected in
planning team meeting	a stimulus for improvement, rather	planning process and is	analysis is not fully explored or	the objectives of the plan.
agenda and minutes	than merely a snapshot, of current	reflected in the objectives of the	the analysis is only partially	
<ul> <li>Staff member, community</li> </ul>	conditions.	plan.	reflected in the objectives of the	
member, parent/family			5YCEP.	
member and school				
improvement planning team				
member interviews				
MontCAS reports				
Other student achievement				
data				
Needs assessment data				
Perception surveys				
School profile				

	Ratings of Performance				
	4	3	2	1	
Indicator	Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation	
9.3 DEFINING DESIRED RESULTS FOR STUDENT LEARNING	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:	•			
DA	Staff members implement the	The school improvement	The school improvement	The school improvement	
9.3a School and district plans reflect learning research, current local, state and national expectations for student learning and are reviewed by a planning team.  Examples of Supporting	educational research findings of the school improvement planning team in designing appropriate instructional strategies that are specified in the 5YCEP.	planning team conducts a review of the latest educational research that has implications for student learning and reports its findings to the school leadership and staff members.	planning team conducts a review of educational research, but the implications of the research for student learning are not fully considered.	planning team does not conduct a review educational research.	
Evidence:	School leadership incorporates	School leadership considers	School leadership considers	School leadership does not	
SYCEP     Standards-based curriculum documents     School improvement planning team meeting agenda and minutes     Staff member, community member, parent/family member and school improvement planning team member interviews     School board/subcommittee meeting agenda and minutes     Professional library/resources     Research findings     Scholastic review/reports	interdisciplinary school-wide goals for student learning into the 5YCEP.	district and state standards as they work with the school improvement planning team to determine the goals and objectives of the plan.	district and state standards, but does not use the team's findings to determine the goals and objectives of the 5YCEP.	consider district and state standards when determining the goals and objectives of the 5YCEP.	

	Ratings of Performance				
	4	3	2	1	
Indicator	Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation	
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:				
9.3b The school/district analyzes their students' unique learning needs.	The school improvement team conducts additional surveys of stakeholder perceptions as	The school improvement planning team (e.g., community, cultural/tribal	The school improvement planning team surveys stakeholder perceptions on the	The school improvement planning team does not survey stakeholder perceptions on the	
Examples of Supporting Evidence:	needed.	leaders, teachers, and parents) conducts an analysis of the results of surveys of stakeholder perceptions on the strengths and limitations of the school in meeting the unique learning needs of students.	strengths and limitations of the school in meeting the unique learning needs of students, but either the survey results are not thoroughly analyzed or are not consistently used as a data source for planning.	strengths and limitations of the school in meeting the unique learning needs of students.	
<ul> <li>agenda and minutes</li> <li>Staff member, community member, parent/family member and school improvement planning team member interviews</li> </ul>	The school improvement planning team has established self-assessment mechanisms and collects data to ensure that their efforts are serving the school improvement effort as a whole.	Data are collected to verify strengths and to establish a baseline in areas of limitation so that improvements in student learning can be monitored over time.	Data are collected to verify strengths, but the data are not used to establish a baseline in areas of limitation so that improvements in student learning can be monitored over time.	Data are not collected to verify the strengths and limitations of the school in improving student learning.	
<ul> <li>Documentation of data analysis</li> <li>MontCAS reports</li> <li>Other student achievement data</li> <li>School profile</li> </ul>	School leadership regularly analyzes student performance data and develops a school strategy that empowers teachers and administrators to make decisions that support success for students with learning needs and for all population subgroups.	School leadership analyzes student performance data to identify students with unmet learning needs and to identify achievement gaps within the student population as a whole.	School leadership analyzes student performance data, but either the analysis is not always used to identify students that have learning needs or is inadequate to help the school identify gaps.	Data are not considered in identifying student learning needs.	
		. Mantaura Office of Dublic lasts			

		Ratings of 1	Performance	
Indicator	Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
9.3c The desired results for student learning are defined.  Examples of Supporting Evidence:	The desired results for student learning are regularly defined and modified as necessary.	The desired results for student learning are clearly and concisely stated, defined in measurable terms and accompanied by benchmarks.	The desired results for student learning are clearly stated, but not defined in measurable terms or not accompanied by benchmarks.	The desired results for student learning are not stated.
<ul> <li>5YCEP</li> <li>Student performance level descriptions</li> <li>School improvement planning team meeting agenda and minutes</li> <li>Staff member, school board member, community member, parent/family</li> </ul>	The desired results for student learning anticipate the needs of the school's population as lifelong learners with a focus on access and equity.	The desired results for student learning reflect meaningful and challenging learning goals and are aligned with the school's vision.	Some of the desired results for student learning are meaningful and sufficiently challenging, but they are not all aligned with the school's vision.	The desired results for student learning are neither meaningful nor sufficiently challenging.
member, parent/tamily member and school improvement planning team member interviews  School board/subcommittee meeting agenda and minutes	School leadership and representatives from all stakeholder groups collaborate to identify the student learning goals and share a sense of responsibility and commitment for achieving the goals of the 5YCEP.	School leadership has identified a manageable number of student learning goals as priorities for the 5YCEP. Staff members share a sense of responsibility for achieving the goals of the plan.	School leadership has identified student learning goals as priorities for the 5YCEP, but the number of goals is not manageable or not all staff members share a sense of responsibility for achieving the goals of the plan.	School leadership has not identified student learning goals as priorities for the 5YCEP.

	Ratings of Performance				
Indicator	Exemplary level of development and implementation	3 Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	1 Little or no development and implementation	
9.4 ANALYZING INSTRUCTIONAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:				
9.4a Perceived strengths and limitations of the school/district instructional and organizational effectiveness are identified using the collected data.	Staff members and representatives of stakeholder groups use data triangulation to survey data from multiple sources to corroborate the identification of perceived strengths and limitations of the school.	Staff members and representatives of stakeholder groups review survey data to identify perceived strengths and limitations of the school to inform school improvement planning.	Staff members sometimes review survey data to identify perceived strengths and limitations of the school, but the results of the review are not always used to inform school improvement planning.	Staff members do not review survey data to identify perceived strengths and limitations of the school.	
Examples of Supporting Evidence:	School leadership ensures that all four types of data (student learning, demographic, perception and school processes) are collected and intentionally used to verify the strength and limitations in the organizational and instructional domains of the school and to validate the goals of the 5YCEP.	Additional data are analyzed to verify perceived strengths and limitations in the organizational and instructional domains of the school to validate the goals of the 5YCEP.	Additional data are analyzed, but the level of analysis is not always sufficient to verify the perceived strengths and limitations in the organizational and instructional domains of the school.	Data are not analyzed to verify the perceived strengths and limitations of the school.	

	Ratings of Performance			
Indicator	4 Exemplary level of development and implementation	3 Fully functioning and operational level of	2 Limited development or partial implementation	1 Little or no development and implementation
		development and implementation		
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
9.4b The school/district goals for building and strengthening the capacity of the school/district instructional and organizational effectiveness are defined.  Examples of Supporting Evidence:  • Action components of the comprehensive school improvement plan • School improvement planning team meeting agenda and minutes • Staff member, school board member, parent/family member, school improvement team member and community member interviews • School board meeting agenda and minutes	School improvement goals are visionary, validated against educational research and balanced between the school's instructional and organizational activities.	School improvement goals are stated in clear, concise and measurable terms and are focused on building the school's capacity for instructional and organizational effectiveness.	School improvement goals are generally stated in clear and concise terms, but either are not measurable or are not focused on the school's capacity for instructional and organizational effectiveness.	School improvement goals are not stated in clear, concise or measurable terms.

	Ratings of Performance			
Indicator	4 Exemplary level of development	3 Fully functioning and	2 Limited development or partial	1 Little or no development and
Indicator	and implementation	operational level of development and implementation	implementation	implementation
9.5 DEVELOPMENT OF THE IMPROVEMENT PLAN	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
9.5a The action steps for school improvement are aligned with the school improvement goals and objectives.	The action components of the 5YCEP are intentionally focused on equity of academic opportunity and access for all individual students as well as subgroups.	The action components of the 5YCEP include an intentional focus on closing achievement gaps with specific focus on Indian student populations when applicable among subgroups	The action components of the 5YCEP may have an impact on closing achievement gaps among subgroups, but the focus is not intentional.	The action components of the 5YCEP do not include a focus on closing achievement gaps.
Examples of Supporting Evidence:  • Action components of the comprehensive school improvement plan • School improvement planning team meeting agenda and minutes • Staff member, school improvement planning team	The goals, objectives and activities of the 5YCEP are seamlessly integrated into the practice of the school resulting in a culture of high achievement for all students.	The goals, objectives and activities of the 5YCEP are all in alignment.	Not all of the goals, objectives and activities of the 5YCEP are in alignment.	The goals, objectives and activities of the 5YCEP are not in alignment.
member and school board member interviews • School board meeting agenda and minutes	Activities in the 5YCEP are validated against best practices of similar and high- performing schools.	Activities in the 5YCEP are grounded in research and are sufficient to achieve the objectives.	Activities in the 5YCEP may be grounded in research, but are not always sufficient to achieve the objectives.	Activities in the 5YCEP have no basis in research and are not sufficient to achieve the objectives.

	Ratings of Performance			
Indicator	Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
9.5b The plan identifies the resources, timelines and persons responsible for carrying out each activity.  Examples of Supporting Evidence:	The timelines established for the action components in the 5YCEP are realistic without compromising educational idealism or detracting from the immediacy of impacting student performance.	The timelines established for the action components in the 5YCEP are realistic and designed to have maximum impact on student performance.	The timelines established for the action components in the 5YCEP are not always designed to impact the student performance.	The timelines for the action plan in the 5YCEP have not been established or are unrealistic.
<ul> <li>5YCEP</li> <li>School board meeting agenda and minutes</li> <li>School improvement planning team meeting agenda and minutes</li> <li>School board member, staff member and school improvement planning team member interviews</li> </ul>	Abundant resources are available for all activities in the 5YCEP, constructing a bridge of support between goal setting and implementation of the plan.	Adequate resources are identified for all activities in the 5YCEP. All funding sources are integrated in the budget to support the plan.	Limited resources are provided for the activities in the 5YCEP, and/or funding sources are not always integrated.	Resources are not identified for the activities in the 5YCEP.
	The persons responsible for implementation of the action components of the 5YCEP include representatives of other stakeholder groups as well as staff members.	The 5YCEP identifies those persons responsible for implementation of the action components, and this responsibility is shared among staff members.	The 5YCEP identifies the role group responsible for implementation of the action components, but the responsibility is not shared among staff members.	The 5YCEP does not identify those responsible for implementation of the action components.

		Ratings of 1	Performance	
Indicator	4 Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
9.5c The means for evaluating the effectiveness of the improvement plan are established.  Examples of Supporting Evidence:	School leadership provides appropriate and timely academic press and support to ensure effective implementation of the activities of the 5YCEP.	School leadership systematically conducts implementation and impact checks to monitor the effectiveness of the activities of the 5YCEP over time.	School leadership conducts implementation and impact checks to monitor the effectiveness of the activities of the 5YCEP but the process is not systematic.	School leadership does not conduct implementation and impact checks.
<ul> <li>5YCEP</li> <li>Implementation and impact checks</li> <li>School improvement planning team meeting agenda and minutes</li> <li>School board meeting agenda and minutes</li> <li>Staff member, school board member and school improvement planning team member interviews</li> </ul>	School leadership validates the results of data analysis against educational research and makes recommendations for appropriate modifications to the 5YCEP.	School leadership analyzes the data collected through implementation and impact checks and makes appropriate modifications to the 5YCEP.	School leadership analyzes the data collected through implementation and impact checks, but does not always make appropriate modifications to the 5YCEP.	School leadership does not review the data collected through implementation and impact checks.

	Ratings of Performance			
Indicator	4 Exemplary level of development	3 Fully functioning and	2 Limited development or partial	1 Little or no development and
inuicator	and implementation	operational level of	implementation	implementation
		development and implementation		
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of	mplementation		
	"3" on this indicator plus:			
9.5d	The action components in the	The action components in the	Some action components in the	The school's mission and beliefs
The improvement plan is aligned	5YCEP are aligned with the	5YCEP are aligned with the	5YCEP are aligned with the	were not considered or did not
with the school's profile, beliefs,	mission and beliefs of the school	school's mission and beliefs	school's mission and beliefs.	guide the development of the
mission, desired results for	and the district for both long term	for both long term and short		action components of the
student learning and analysis of instructional and organizational	and short term goals.	term goals.		5YCEP.
effectiveness.	The action components in the 5YCEP anticipate the needs of	The action components in the 5YCEP support the desired	Some action components in the 5YCEP support the desired	The action components in the 5YCEP do not support the
Examples of Supporting	the school's population as life-	results for student learning	learning results and instructional	desired results for student
Evidence:	long learners and enhance the instructional and organizational	and instructional and organizational effectiveness	and organizational effectiveness.	learning or instructional and organizational effectiveness.
<ul> <li>Mission and belief</li> </ul>	effectiveness of the school.	as reflected in the school's		
statements		mission and beliefs.		
• 5YCEP				
<ul> <li>Staff member, school board</li> </ul>				
member and school				
improvement planning team				
member interviews				
<ul> <li>Perception surveys</li> </ul>				
School profile				
<ul> <li>Needs assessment data</li> </ul>				
School board meeting				
agenda and minutes				
School improvement      School improvement				
planning team meeting agenda and minutes				
agenda and minutes				

	Ratings of Performance			
	4	3	2	1
<b>Indicator</b>	Exemplary level of development	Fully functioning and	Limited development or partial	Little or no development and
Indicator	and implementation	operational level of	implementation	implementation
		development and		
9.6 IMPLEMENTATION AND	Meets criteria for a rating of	implementation		
DOCUMENTATION AND	"3" on this indicator plus:			
DA	School leadership models a	School leadership provides	School leadership provides	School leadership does not
	collaborative approach to the	ongoing direction, support	limited direction and support for	provide direction and support for
9.6a	implementation of the 5YCEP.	and resources for effective	the implementation of the	the implementation of the
The plan is implemented as		implementation of the	5YCEP.	5YCEP.
developed.		5YCEP.		
Examples of Supporting	Stakeholders know the goals of	Staff members know the	Most staff members are aware of	Staff members do not have
Evidence:	the 5YCEP and are involved in	goals of the 5YCEP and	the 5YCEP but not all are	sufficient awareness of the
	implementing the plan as	implement the plan as	involved in implementation of	5YCEP to be involved in its
• 5YCEP	developed.	developed.	the plan as developed.	implementation.
<ul> <li>Implementation and impact checks</li> </ul>				
Staff member, school				
improvement planning team				
member and other				
stakeholder interviews				
<ul> <li>School board meeting</li> </ul>				
agenda and minutes				
School improvement				
planning team meeting				
agenda and minutes				

	Ratings of Performance			
_	4	3	2	1
Indicator	Exemplary level of development	Fully functioning and	Limited development or partial	Little or no development and
Indicator	and implementation	operational level of	implementation	implementation
		development and		
DA	<b>N</b> .	implementation		
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:			
9.6b	School leadership validates the	School leadership collects and	School leadership may collect	School leadership does not
The school evaluates the degree	analysis of data against	analyzes data in the areas	and analyze data in the areas	analyze data in the areas targeted
to which it achieves the goals and	educational research and	targeted by the 5YCEP and	targeted by the 5YCEP, but does	by the 5YCEP for the purpose of
objectives for student learning	compares levels of student	compares levels of student	not always compare levels of	evaluating the degree to which
set by the plan.	performance to those in similar	performance at regular	student performance at regular	the goals of the plan are
	and high-performing schools.	intervals to evaluate the	intervals to evaluate the degree to	achieved.
Examples of Supporting		degree to which the goals of	which the goals of the plan are	
Evidence:		the plans are achieved.	achieved.	
• 5YCEP				
Implementation and impact				
checks and summaries of data collected				
<ul> <li>Staff member, school board</li> </ul>				
member and school				
improvement planning team				
member interviews				
<ul> <li>School board/subcommittee</li> </ul>				
meeting agenda and minutes				
School improvement				
planning team meeting				
agenda and minutes				
<ul> <li>Perception surveys</li> </ul>				

	Ratings of Performance			
	4	3	2	1
<b>Indicator</b>	Exemplary level of development	Fully functioning and	Limited development or partial	Little or no development and
	and implementation	operational level of development and	implementation	implementation
		implementation		
DA	Meets criteria for a rating of	•		
	"3" on this indicator plus:			
9.6c	School leadership validates the	School leadership collects and	School leadership may collect	School leadership does not
The school evaluates the degree	analysis of data against	analyzes data in the areas	and analyze data in the areas	analyze data in the areas targeted
to which it achieves the expected impact on classroom practice	educational research and compares levels of student	targeted by the 5YCEP, and compares levels of student	targeted by the 5YCEP, but does not always compare levels of	by the 5YCEP for the purpose of evaluating the degree to which
and student performance	performance to those in similar	performance at regular	student performance at regular	the expected impact on
specified in the plan.	and high-performing schools to	intervals to evaluate the	intervals to evaluate the degree to	classroom practice is achieved.
	assimilate a culture of high	degree to which the expected	which the expected impact on	-
Examples of Supporting	performance expectations into	impact on classroom practice	classroom practice is achieved.	
Evidence:	the practice of classrooms and the school.	is achieved.		
• 5YCEP	the school.			
<ul> <li>Implementation and impact</li> </ul>				
checks and summaries of				
data collected				
• Staff member, school				
improvement planning team				
member, and school board member interviews				
School board meeting				
agenda and minutes				
School improvement				
planning team agenda and				
minutes				
Perception surveys				
Management records     reports				
reports				

		Ratings of Performance			
		4	3	2	1
Indicator	Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of development and implementation	Limited development or partial implementation	Little or no development and implementation	
DA		Meets criteria for a rating of "3" on this indicator plus:	•		
9.6d		School leadership ensures that	School leadership implements	School leadership conducts a	School leadership makes no
There is e	evidence of attempts to	implementation strategies are	a systematic and ongoing	review of the school's progress in	effort to sustain the school's
sustain th	ne commitment to	relevant, appropriate, drawn from	process to conduct a	achieving the goals of the	commitment to continuous
continuou	us improvement.	research and customized for	comprehensive analysis of the	5YCEP. Feedback is not always	improvement.
		school context, resulting in a	school's progress in achieving	collected from stakeholders or	
Examples	s of Supporting	high level of staff support and	the goals of the 5YCEP.	used to make modifications to the	
Evidence:	:	commitment.	Feedback is collected from	plan.	
			stakeholders and		
• 5Y	CEP		modifications to the plan are		
	plementation and impact ecks		made as necessary.		
• Sta	aff member, school	Formal recognition and	School leadership regularly	School leadership sometimes	School leadership does not
	provement planning team	celebration of accomplishments	provides school improvement	provides school improvement	provide school improvement
	ember, parent/ family	are thoroughly assimilated into	reports to the school board.	reports to the school board.	reports to the school board.
	ember and community	the practice of the school and are	Accomplishments are	Accomplishments may be noted	_
me	ember interviews	a vital impetus for school	formally recognized and	on an informal basis.	
• Sch	hool board meeting	improvement.	celebrated.		
age	enda and minutes				
• Sch	hool improvement	School leadership engages	New or emerging objectives	New areas for needed	New or emerging areas for
pla	anning team agenda and	representatives of the learning	for improving student	improvement may be identified,	improving student performance
miı	nutes	community in long-term planning	performance are identified.	but objectives are not always	are not identified.
• Per	rception surveys	to identify new or emerging	Activities are selected and	specified.	
• Sar	mples of communications	objectives that proactively meet	implemented to address these		
	staff and stakeholders	the anticipated future learning	objectives.		
• Me	edia releases	needs of the school's students.			
• Ide	entified new objectives				
	improvement				
• Ne	eds assessment data				